S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INST	TRUCTIONS ON PAGE TV	VO OF THE FORM	l.)								
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS								
David Johnson			Jose and Vivian Leon								
			Tool and Tittul Loon								
(b) County of Basidana of First Lived Divisity Contro Costs			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Contra Costa								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Contra Costa (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of itest	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Contra Costa (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)							
(EACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE							
				LAND INVOLVED.							
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	ne, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If K	nown)			/			
Thomas N. Stewart, III					(6)						
369 Blue Oak Lane											
Clayton, Ca 94517				0 -	2 /	- /					
(925) 672-8452				1 1'08	(- 56	174	132				
II DACIC OF HIDIONIA	CTION		шс								
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CITON (Place an "X" in Oi	ne Box Only)	III. C	(For Diversity C			AL PARTIES (P				
				(For Diversity C	PTF			and One Box	PTF	am) DEF	
1 U.S. Government			Cit	Citizen of This State 1			Incorporated or Princip		4	4	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government No	ot a Party)					of Business In Thi	is State			
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Cit	izen of Another State	e 🔲 2	2	2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5				
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)					of Business In An	ther State			
				izen or Subject of a	3	3 Foreign Nation			6	6	
TI NATURE OF CUIT				Foreign Country							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		,			NONE A F OFF	, -	A NIVERNI DE CAL	T			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		FORFEITURE/PENALTY 1610 Agriculture		_	Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment			
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Inju		620 Other Food	& Drug		Withdrawal	410 Ant		Millent	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpro	actice	625 Drug Relate		_	28 USC 157		iks and Bani	king	
140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	365 Personal Inju		of Property 630 Liquor Laws			DEDELI DI CITE		450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liab 368 Asbestos Per		640 R.R. & Truc			PROPERTY RIGHTS 460 Deportation 820 Copyrights 470 Racketeer Influence 830 Patent 480 Consumer Credit 840 Trademark 490 Cable/Sat TV			enced and	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational						izations	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability	Liability								l it	
(Excl Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	Safety/Healt	in					ce	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Len	dina F		-		810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commoditi				
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Persor		LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act		SOCIAL SECURITY Exchange					
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Dar					HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923)		stomer Chall USC 3410	lenge	
195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Dan Product Liab		720 Labor/Mgmi	t. Relations	863	DIWC/DIWW (405(g))			Actions	
196 Franchise		PRISONE		730 Labor/Mgm		=== 864	SSID Title XVI	891 Agr	ricultural Ac	ets	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PETITION		& Disclosum 740 Railway Lab		865	RSI (405(g))	892 Economic Stabilization Act			
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to V		790 Other Labor				_	rgy Allocati		
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		791 Empl. Ret. I Security Ac		FEDI	ERAL TAX SUITS	395 Freedom of Information Act 990Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General	•	Security Ac	•	870	Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff				
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalt				_	or Defendant)				
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus &	& Other	IMMIGRAT	ΓΙΟΝ		IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609				
\\	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities —	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Cond	ition	462 Naturalization			20 050 7007				
,''/	Other		· ·	463 Habeas Corp Alien Detain							
\	440 Other Civil Rights		į.	465 Other Immig							
				Actions							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X"	' in One Box Only)			Tra	nsferred fr	om		Α	ppeal to D	District	
■ 1 Original □ 2 Remo		_	4 Reinsta		other distr	ict [6 Multidistrict		udge from		
Proceeding State	Court '-' Appel	late Court	Reope	ned (sp	ecify)		Litigation		Magistrate		
	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which	vou are fi	ling (Do not cite	iuriedicti	onal eta	tutae unlace divarcit		udgment		
	42 USC 12101	atute under winen	you are n	ing (Do not the	jurisuicu	onai sta	tutes uniess uiversity	")•			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: ADA Title III											
THE PROVINCE DE											
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No											
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) PLEASE REFER TO/CIVIL LR 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE											
IF ANY "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".											
-	MENT (CIVIL 1 D 2 :	• //	$\overline{}$				_				
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN!		2) \\	7 64	FRANÇISCO/O	A KI A NII	D	CAN IOSE				
(PLACE AND "X" IN ONE	DUA UNLY)	SIGNATURE			ALLAN	U .	☐ SAN JOSE		_		
DATE July 28, 2008		SIGNALUMEN		10111	U_	_					
July 20, 2000			- //	X -wo		ζ					

THOMAS N. STEWART, III - #88128 ATTORNEY AT LAW 369 BLUE OAK LANE, 2nd FLOOR CLAYTON, CA 94517 TELEPHONE (925) 672-8452 TELEFAX (925) 673-1729 Attorneys for David Johnson



ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

B7

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JOHNSON,

CVase NO.88.
Civil Rights

3624

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSE and VIVIAN LEON,

COMPLAINT FOR PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES: DENIAL OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND PUBLIC FACILITIES TO PHYSICALLY DISABLED PERSONS (CIVIL CODE §§ 54, 54.1 AND 55; INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PER TITLE III, AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

Defendants.

Plaintiff, David Johnson, alleges:

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR DENIAL OF FULL AND EQUAL ACCESS TO A PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION: PUBLIC FACILITIES AT A RESTAURANT (Civil Code §§ 51, 54.1)

1. Plaintiff is a C5/6 quadriplegic as the result of an accident. He does not have the use of his legs, and has limited use of his hands, and is a "person with a disability" and "physically handicapped person". Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair for locomotion and is either unable to use portions of public facilities which are not accessible to disabled persons who

Complaint

22

23

require the use of a wheelchair or is only able to use such portions with undue difficulty.

- 2. The La Fogata restaurant, located at 1315 North Main Street, Walnut Creek, California (the Restaurant) is a Restaurant which is open to the public. Defendants own the real property where the Restaurant is located.
- 3. Summary of facts: This case involves the denial of access to Plaintiff and others similarly situated at the Restaurant on July 15, 2008. Plaintiff was denied equal protection of the law and was denied Civil Rights under both California law and federal law, as hereinbelow described. Plaintiff was denied his rights to full and equal access at the Restaurant because of an inaccessible restroom, all of which made the Restaurant not properly accessible to Plaintiff and to others similarly situated. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to require Defendants to make the restroom accessible, to comply with ADAAG and the CBC where required, to remove all barriers to access in the Restaurant which are readily achievable to remove, to make all reasonable accommodations in policy in order to enable Plaintiff and others similarly situated to use the Restaurant and at minimum, to use readily achievable alternative methods to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services which the Restaurant makes available to the non-disabled public. Plaintiff also seeks the recovery of damages for his personal damages involved in the discriminatory experiences on July 15, 2008, and seeks recovery of reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses and costs according to statute.
- 4. Jurisdiction: This Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC 12101 et seq. Pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action arising from the same facts are

	1
	2
	3
•	4
:	5
(5
7	7
8	}
9)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

also brought under California law, including but not limited to violations of California Civil Code §§ 51, 54, 54.1 and 55.

- 5. Venue: Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 USC 1391(b) and is founded on the fact that the location where Plaintiff experienced his discrimination is located in this district and that Plaintiff's causes of action arose in this district.
- 6. Intradistrict: This case should be assigned to the San Francisco intradistrict because the incident occurred in, and Plaintiff's rights arose in, the San Francisco intradistrict.
- 7. The Restaurant is a "public accommodation or facility" subject to the requirements of California Civil Code § 51, 54, 54.1 and 55.
- 8. Placeholder.
- 9. Defendant is and was the owner, operator, manager, lessor and lessee of the subject Restaurant at all times relevant herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the Defendants is and was the agent, employee or representative of each of the other Defendants, and performed all acts and omissions stated herein within the scope of such agency or employment or representative capacity and is responsible in some manner for the acts and omissions of the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages complained of herein. 10. Plaintiff and others similarly situated are disabled persons who require the use of a
- wheelchair and are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each such facility is in compliance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the regulations thereof. Under Civil Code §§ 51 and 54.1, Defendants were required to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the federal

regulations adopted pursuant thereto. The acts and omissions of which Plaintiff complains took place at the Restaurant.

11. Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq., were enacted to prohibit discrimination against people with disabilities and to encourage the full and free use by people with disabilities of public facilities and other public places. CC §§ 51 and 54(c) state that a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 is a "violation of this section"; CC § 54.1(a) states that individuals with disabilities are entitled to "full and equal access" to public accommodations and that such access means that which meets the standards of Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto; CC § 52 states that minimum damages for discrimination are \$4,000 for each incidence of discrimination; CC § 54.3 states that minimum damages for discrimination are \$1,000; CC § 54.3 states that a defendant who denies or interferes with a disabled person's rights of access is liable for actual damages and attorneys' fees.

12. Health & Safety Code § 19955 was enacted "To ensure that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds adhere to provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with § 4450) of Division 5 of Title 1 of the Government Code." Such public accommodations include those which are the subject of this action. On information and belief, Title 24 California Code of Regulations, formerly known as the California Administrative Code, was in effect at the time of construction and of each alteration of work, all of which occurred after July 1, 1982, thus requiring access complying with the specifications of title 24 for all such construction and for each such "alteration, structural repair or addition".

21

22

23

13. The Restaurant's men's restroom is inaccessible to people in wheelchairs, because once people in wheelchairs get into the men's restroom, they cannot get out without assistance.

On July 15, 2008, Plaintiff patronized the Restaurant. Because of the inaccessible restroom, Plaintiff had to go the men's restroom with his wife, so that his wife could open the doors when he left. Able-bodied people do not have to do this.

As a result, Plaintiff was embarrassed and humiliated in an amount according to proof. 14. Defendant's failure to create an accessible men's restroom for the Restaurant, remove all readily achievable barriers, institute policies in furtherance of accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services offered to the non-disabled public without having to suffer the indignities, as aforesaid, was a violation of the California Building Code, the Americans with Disabilities Act and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto, and as a consequence, was a violation of Civil Code §§ 51 and 54, et seq. 15. Injunctive relief: Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to prohibit the acts and omissions by Defendant at the Restaurant as stated above which appear to be continuing, and which have the effect of wrongfully excluding Plaintiff and others similarly situated from using the Restaurant. Plaintiff will patronize the Restaurant again once the barriers are removed. Such acts and omissions are the cause of humiliation and inconvenience of Plaintiff in that these actions continue to treat Plaintiff as an inferior and second class citizen and serve to discriminate against Plaintiff on the sole basis that Plaintiff is a person with a disability and requires the use of a wheelchair for movement in public places. Plaintiff is unable so long as such acts and omissions of Defendant continue, to achieve equal access to and use of this public facility. The acts of Defendant have proximately caused and will continue to cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff if not enjoined by this Court.

16. Damages: As a result of the denial of equal access to the Restaurant and due to the acts and

omissions of Defendants and each of them in owning, operating and/or leasing the Restaurant, Plaintiff suffered a violation of Civil Rights including but not limited to rights under Civil Code §§ 51, 54 and 54.1, and suffered mental and emotional distress, all to Plaintiff's damages. Defendant's actions and omissions to act constituted discrimination against Plaintiff on the sole basis that Plaintiff was physically disabled and unable to use the facilities on a full and equal basis as other persons. Plaintiff seeks actual damages, the minimum per occurrence damages of \$4,000 pursuant to CC § 52, and \$1,000 pursuant to CC § 54.3. Plaintiff also seeks trebling of all of the actual damages as provided by Civil Code § 54.3.

17. Fees and costs: As a result of Defendant's acts, omissions and conduct, Plaintiff has been required to incur attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs as provided by statute, in order to enforce Plaintiff's rights and to enforce provisions of the law protecting access for disabled persons and prohibiting discrimination against disabled persons. Plaintiff therefore seeks recovery of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to the provisions of Civil Code §§ 52 and 54.3. Additionally, Plaintiff's lawsuit is intended not only to obtain compensation for damages to Plaintiff, but also to force the Defendants to make their facilities accessible to all disabled members of the public, justifying "public interest" attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

18. Wherefore, Plaintiff asks this Court to preliminarily and permanently enjoin any continuing

1	
2	•
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

refusal by Defendants to comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and regulations adopted pursuant thereto with respect to access of disabled persons to the Restaurant; for statutory damages pursuant to CC § 52; for actual and treble damages pursuant to CC § 54.3 and for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CC §§ 52, 54.3 and 1021.5.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 42 USC §§ 12101 FF

19. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-18 hereof.

20. Pursuant to law, in 1990 the United States Congress made findings per 42 USC §12101 regarding physically disabled persons, finding that laws were needed to more fully protect "some 43 million Americans [with] one or more physical or mental disabilities"; that "historically society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities"; and that "such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem"; that "the Nation's proper goals regarding individuals with disabilities are to assure equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living and economic self sufficiency for such individuals"; and that "the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous ..." 21. Congress stated as its purpose in passing the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 USC §12101(b)):

It is the purpose of this act

(1) to provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
10)
1 1	۱
12	2
13	;
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

(2) to provide clear, strong, consistent,	enforceable standards	addressing	discrimination
against individuals with disabilities;			

Filed 07/29/2008

- (3) to ensure that the Federal government plays a central role in enforcing the standards established in this act on behalf of individuals with disabilities; and
- (4) to invoke the sweep of Congressional authority, including the power to enforce the 14th Amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day to day by people with disabilities (emphasis added).
- 22. As part of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Public Law 101-336 (hereinafter the "ADA"), Congress passed "Title III - Public Accommodations and Services Operated by Private Entities" (42 USC 12181 ff). Among "private entities" which are considered "public accommodations" for purposes of this Title is a Restaurant (Regulation 36.104).
- 23. Pursuant to §302, 42 USC §12182, "No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases or leases to, or operates a place of public accommodation."
- 24. Among the specific prohibitions against discrimination were included:
- §12182(b)(2)(A)(ii): "A failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities ...";
- §12182(b)(A)(iii): "a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied service, segregated, or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services ..."; §12182(b)(A)(iv): "A failure to remove architectural barriers and communication barriers that are structural in

Complaint

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

nature, in existing facilities ... where such removal is readily achievable"; §12182(b)(A)(v): "Where an entity can demonstrate that the removal of a barrier under clause (iv) is not readily achievable, a failure to make such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations available through alternative methods if such methods are readily achievable". The acts and omissions of Defendants set forth herein were in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA, Public Law 101-336, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, 28 CFR Part 36ff.

25. The removal of each of the barriers complained of by Plaintiff as hereinabove alleged, were at all times herein mentioned "readily achievable" under the standards of the Americans With Disabilities Act. Because the Restaurant was not accessible, Defendants had an obligation to have some sort of plan which would have allowed Plaintiff to enjoy the Restaurant's services without having to suffer the indignities as aforesaid.

26. Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 USC 12188ff, §308, Plaintiff is entitled to the remedies and procedures set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 USC 2000(a)-3(a), as Plaintiff is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of this Title or has reasonable grounds for believing that he is about to be subjected to discrimination in violation of §12182. On information and belief, Defendants have continued to violate the law and deny the rights of Plaintiff and of other disabled persons to access this public accommodation since on or before July 15, 2008. Pursuant to §12188(a)(2), "In cases of violations of §12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) ... injunctive relief shall include an order to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

extent required by this title."

27. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to remedies set forth in §204(a) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, (42 USC 2000(a)-3(a), and pursuant to Federal Regulations adopted to implement the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Plaintiff is a person for purposes of Section 12188(a) of the ADA who is being subjected to discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of Title III and who has reasonable grounds for believing he will be subjected to such discrimination each time that he may attempt to patronize the Restaurant.

Wherefore Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter stated:

PRAYER

- 1. Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to modify its facilities as required by law to comply with the ADAAG and the CBC where required, remove all barriers where it is readily achievable to do so, institute policies in furtherance of accessibility or at least have an alternate policy to enable Plaintiff to use the goods and services offered to the non-disabled public so that it provides adequate access to all citizens, including persons with disabilities; and issue a preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to maintain its facilities usable by plaintiff and similarly situated persons with disabilities in compliance with federal regulations, and which provide full and equal access, as required by law;
- 2. Retain jurisdiction over the Defendants until such time as the Court is satisfied that Defendants' unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions, and maintenance of inaccessible public facilities as complained of herein no longer occur, and will not recur;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
1

- 3. Award to Plaintiff all appropriate statutory damages;
- 4. Award to Plaintiff all reasonable attorneys' fees, all litigation expenses, and all costs of this proceeding as provided by law; and
 - 5. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Date: July 28, 2008

S/Thomas N. Stewart, III_ Attorney for Plaintiff